

ON DERIVED FUNCTORS OF GRADED LOCAL COHOMOLOGY MODULES

TONY J. PUTHENPURAKAL AND JYOTI SINGH

ABSTRACT. Let K be a field of characteristic zero and let $R = K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$, with standard grading. Let $\mathfrak{m} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ and let E be the $*$ injective hull of $R/\mathfrak{m}$. Let $A_n(K)$ be the n^{th} Weyl algebra over K . Let I, J be homogeneous ideals in R . Fix $i, j \geq 0$ and set $M = H_I^i(R)$ and $N = H_J^j(R)$ considered as left $A_n(K)$ -modules. We show the following two results for which no analogous result is known in characteristic $p > 0$.

- (1) $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^l(\mathrm{Tor}_{\nu}^R(M, N)) \cong E(n)^{a_{l,\nu}}$ for some $a_{l,\nu} \geq 0$.
- (2) For all $\nu \geq 0$; the finite dimensional vector space $\mathrm{Tor}_{\nu}^{A_n(K)}(M^{\sharp}, N)$ is concentrated in degree $-n$ (here $M^{\sharp}$ is the standard right $A_n(K)$ -module associated to M).

We also conjecture that for all $i \geq 0$ the finite dimensional vector space $\mathrm{Ext}_{A_n(K)}^i(M, N)$ is concentrated in degree zero. We give a few examples which support this conjecture.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Setup: Let K be a field of characteristic zero and let $R = K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$. We give the standard grading on R . Let $\mathfrak{m} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ and let E be the $*$ injective hull of $R/\mathfrak{m}$. Let $A_n(K) = K \langle X_1, \dots, X_n, \partial_1, \dots, \partial_n \rangle$ be the n^{th} Weyl algebra over K . We can consider $A_n(K)$ graded by giving $\deg X_i = 1$ and $\deg \partial_i = -1$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Let I, J be homogeneous ideals in R . By a result due to Lyubeznik, see [3, 2.9], for each $i \geq 0$ the local cohomology module $H_I^i(R)$ is a graded left holonomic $A_n(K)$ -module. Fix $i, j \geq 0$. Set $M = H_I^i(R)$ and $N = H_J^j(R)$. Set $M^{\sharp}$ to be the standard right $A_n(K)$ -module associated to M , see 2.6.

A few years ago the first author proved that the De Rham cohomology $H^{\nu}(\partial, H_I^i(R))$ is concentrated in degree $-n$ for each $\nu \geq 0$. For all $\nu \geq 0$ there is a graded isomorphism

$$(*) \quad H^{\nu}(\partial, H_I^i(R)) \cong \mathrm{Tor}_{n-\nu}^{A_n(K)}(R^r, H_I^i(R)).$$

where R^r is R considered as a right $A_n(K)$ -module by the isomorphism $R^r = A_n(K)/(\partial)A_n(K)$. Consider ${}^lR$ to be R considered as a left $A_n(K)$ -module via the

Date: February 16, 2017.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 13D45; Secondary 13N10.

Key words and phrases. Local cohomology modules, De Rham cohomology, Koszul homology, Weyl algebra.

isomorphism ${}^lR \cong A_n(K)/A_n(K)(\partial)$. It is elementary to note that $({}^lR)^\# \cong R^r$. We also note that $H_{(0)}^0(R) = {}^lR$. Our first result is a considerable generalization of $(*)$.

Theorem 1.2. *[with hypotheses as in 1.1] Fix $\nu \geq 0$. Then the graded K -vector space $\mathrm{Tor}_\nu^{A_n(K)}(M^\#, N)$ is concentrated in degree $-n$, i.e., $\mathrm{Tor}_\nu^{A_n(K)}(M^\#, N)_j = 0$ for all $j \neq -n$.*

Remark 1.3. We should note that $M^\#$ is a holonomic right $A_n(K)$ -module. So by [1, 1.6.6], $\mathrm{Tor}_\nu^{A_n(K)}(M^\#, N)$ is a finite dimensional K -vector space.

By a remarkable result of Ma and Zhang [4, 1.2], if $M = H_I^i(R)$ is supported at $\mathfrak{m}$ then $M \cong E(n)^l$ for some $l \geq 0$ (this result also holds in characteristic $p > 0$). Our next result is a considerable generalization of this fact.

Theorem 1.4. *[with hypotheses as in 1.1.] Fix $\nu \geq 0$. If $\mathrm{Tor}_\nu^R(M, N)$ is supported at $\mathfrak{m}$ then $\mathrm{Tor}_\nu^R(M, N) \cong E(n)^l$ for some $l \geq 0$. In particular if $\sqrt{I+J} = \mathfrak{m}$ then for all $\nu \geq 0$ there exists $l_\nu \geq 0$ such that $\mathrm{Tor}_\nu^R(M, N) \cong E(n)^{l_\nu}$.*

In view of Theorems 1.2 and 1.4 a natural question is to investigate $\mathrm{Ext}_{A_n(K)}^\nu(H_I^i(R), H_J^j(R))$ for $\nu \geq 0$. We note that by Bjork [1, 2.7.15 & 1.6.6] this is a finite dimensional K -vector space. Although we are unable to resolve this question, we make the following:

Conjecture 1.5. *[with hypotheses as in 1.1.] Fix $\nu \geq 0$. The graded K -vector space $\mathrm{Ext}_{A_n(K)}^\nu(M, N)$ is concentrated in degree zero, i.e., $\mathrm{Ext}_{A_n(K)}^\nu(M, N)_j = 0$ for $j \neq 0$.*

We give evidence which support this conjecture.

1.6. Techniques used to prove our results

Ma and Zhang, [4], introduced the notion of Eulerian D -modules both in characteristic zero and in characteristic p (here D is the ring of differential operators on $K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$). They showed that the local cohomology module $H_I^i(R)$ is Eulerian for all $i \geq 0$. Unfortunately however the class of Eulerian D -modules is not closed under extensions (see 3.5(1) in [4]). To rectify this the first author introduced the notion generalized Eulerian D -modules (in characteristic zero). We prove Theorem's 1.2 and 1.4 in the general context of graded Lyubeznik functor's on ${}^*Mod(R)$ (see Section 2 for definition of graded Lyubeznik functor).

In characteristic $p > 0$, Ma and Zhang proved a considerably stronger result. They showed that every graded F -module is Eulerian (see Theorem 4.4 in [4]). So if $\mathcal{T}$ is any graded Lyubeznik functor on ${}^*Mod(R)$ then $\mathcal{T}(R)$ is Eulerian. As a consequence, we have $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i \mathcal{T}(R) \cong E(n)^{a_i}$ for some $a_i \geq 0$. A natural question is what happens when $\mathrm{char}(K) = 0$. Note that in $\mathrm{char} p > 0$, Ma and Zhang [4] essentially use the Frobenius which is unavailable in characteristic zero. It is

perhaps hopeless to determine whether $\mathcal{T}(R)$ is Eulerian in characteristic zero. An essential obstruction is that Eulerian D -module are not closed under extensions.

Our third result is

Theorem 1.7. *[with hypotheses as in 1.1.] Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a graded Lyubeznik functor on ${}^*Mod(R)$. Then $\mathcal{T}(R)$ is a generalized Eulerian $A_n(K)$ -module.*

Let $H^\nu(\partial_r, \partial_{r+1}, \dots, \partial_n, V)$ ($H^\nu(X_r, \dots, X_n, V)$) be the ν^{th} partial De Rham (and Koszul) cohomology of an $A_n(K)$ -module V . Notice they are graded $A_n(K)$ -modules if V is a graded $A_n(K)$ -module. The essential technique to prove Theorems 1.2 and 1.4 is the following result:

Theorem 1.8. *Let V be a generalized Eulerian $A_n(K)$ -module. Then for $r \geq 1$*

- (1) *$H^\nu(\partial_r, \partial_{r+1}, \dots, \partial_n; V)(-n + r - 1)$ is a generalized Eulerian module $A_{r-1}(K)$ for all $\nu \geq 0$.*
- (2) *$H^\nu(x_r, \dots, x_n; V)$ is a generalized Eulerian $A_{r-1}(K)$ module for all $\nu \geq 0$.*
- (3) *$H^\nu(x_1, \dots, x_{r-1}, \partial_r, \partial_{r+1}, \dots, \partial_n; V)$ is a K -vector space concentrated in degree $-n + r - 1$*

Here is an overview of the contents of the paper. In section two we discuss a few preliminaries that we need. In the next section we discuss some properties of generalized Eulerian modules. In particular we show that (homogeneous) localization of generalized Eulerian modules are generalized Eulerian. In section four we prove Theorem 1.7 and give some easy corollaries of this result. In section five we investigate Koszul homology of generalized Eulerian modules. An easy consequence of our result is that if a generalized Eulerian $A_n(K)$ -module M is finitely generated as an R -module then it is either zero or R^m for some $m \geq 1$. We also indicate a proof of Theorem 1.8. In section six we prove Theorem 1.4. In the next section we prove Theorem 1.2. Finally in section eight we give evidence to support Conjecture 1.5.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we discuss a few preliminary result that we need. Let K be a field (not necessarily of characteristic zero) and let $R = K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ with standard grading. Let $\mathfrak{m} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ and let E be the injective hull of $R/\mathfrak{m}$. Let ${}^*Mod(R)$ denote the category of graded R -modules.

2.1. Graded Lyubeznik functors:

In this subsection, we define graded Lyubeznik functors. We say Y is *homogeneous* closed subset of $\text{Spec}(R)$ if $Y = V(f_1, \dots, f_s)$, where f'_i s are homogeneous polynomials in R .

We say Y is a homogeneous locally closed subset of $\text{Spec}(R)$ if $Y = Y'' - Y'$, where Y', Y'' are homogeneous closed subset of $\text{Spec}(R)$. We have an exact sequence

of functor's on ${}^*Mod(R)$:

$$(1) \quad H_{Y'}^i(-) \longrightarrow H_{Y''}^i(-) \longrightarrow H_Y^i(-) \longrightarrow H_{Y'}^{i+1}(-).$$

Definition 2.2. A graded Lyubeznik functor $\mathcal{T}$ is a composite functor of the form $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_1 \circ \mathcal{T}_2 \circ \dots \circ \mathcal{T}_k$, where each $\mathcal{T}_j$ is either $H_{Y_j}^i(R)$, where Y_j is a homogeneous locally closed subset of $\text{Spec}(R)$ or the kernel of any arrow appearing in (1) with $Y' = Y_j'$ and $Y'' = Y_j''$, where $Y_j' \subset Y_j''$ are two homogeneous closed subsets of $\text{Spec}(R)$.

We now assume that characteristic of K is zero.

Let $A_n(K)$ be the n^{th} Weyl algebra over K . Set $\deg \partial_i = -1$. So $A_n(K)$ is a graded ring with R as a graded subring.

Remark 2.3. Although many results are stated for de Rham cohomology of a $A_n(K)$ -module M , we will actually work with de Rham homology. Let $S = K[\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n]$. Consider it as a subring of $A_n(K)$. Then note that $H_i(\partial; M)$ is the i^{th} Koszul homology module of M with respect to ∂ . More generally if $u_1, \dots, u_l$ are commuting elements in $A_n(K)$ then we can consider the subring $K[u_1, \dots, u_l]$ of $A_n(K)$ and consider the Koszul homology $H_i(u_1, \dots, u_l; M)$ for all $i \geq 0$.

2.4. Koszul homology: Let M be a graded $A_n(K)$ -module (not necessarily finitely generated). Let $u_1, \dots, u_c$ be homogeneous commuting elements in $A_n(K)$. Let $H_i(u_1, \dots, u_c; M)$ be the i^{th} Koszul homology module of M with respect to $u_1, \dots, u_c$ (with its natural grading). The following result is well-known.

Lemma 2.5. Let $\mathbf{u} = u_r, u_{r+1}, \dots, u_c$ and let $\mathbf{u}' = u_{r+1}, \dots, u_c$. Let M be a graded left $A_n(K)$ -module. Consider the (commutative) subring $S = K[u_1, \dots, u_l]$ of $A_n(K)$. For each $i \geq 0$ there exists an exact sequence of graded S -modules.

$$0 \rightarrow H_0(u_r; H_i(\mathbf{u}'; M)) \rightarrow H_i(\mathbf{u}; M) \rightarrow H_1(u_r; H_{i-1}(\mathbf{u}'; M)) \rightarrow 0.$$

2.6. Standard method for converting left $A_n(K)$ -modules into right modules and vice-versa: Consider the anti-isomorphism $\tau: A_n(K) \rightarrow A_n(K)$ where $\tau(h\partial^\alpha) = (-1)^{|\alpha|}\partial^\alpha h$ (here $h \in R$). Notice $\tau^2 = 1$ and $\tau(uv) = \tau(v)\tau(u)$ for all $u, v \in A_n(K)$.

Let M be a left $A_n(K)$ -module, Consider $M^\sharp$ which is M as an abelian group. However $M^\sharp$ is given a right $A_n(K)$ -module structure as follows:

$$m \circ u = \tau(u)m \quad \text{for } m \in M \text{ and } u \in A_n(K).$$

Similarly if N is right $A_n(K)$ -module then using τ we can naturally define a left $A_n(K)$ -module ${}^\sharp N$. If M is a left $A_n(K)$ -module then it is trivial to check that ${}^\sharp(M^\sharp) \cong M$.

Finally if $M = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} M_i$ is a graded left $A_n(K)$ -module then $M^\sharp$ is also graded with $M^\sharp = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} M_i$.

Example: Let ${}^lR = A_n(K)/A_n(K)\partial$ be R considered as a left $A_n(K)$ -module. Also let $R^r = A_n(K)/\partial A_n(K)$ be R considered as a right $A_n(K)$ -module. It is not difficult to prove that ${}^lR^\# \cong R^r$ as right $A_n(K)$ -modules.

3. GENERALIZED EULERIAN $A_n(K)$ -MODULES

In this section, we recall the notion of generalized Eulerian $A_n(K)$ -modules from [6]. The main result of this section is that localization of graded generalized Eulerian $A_n(K)$ -modules (with respect to a multiplicatively closed set of homogeneous elements) are generalized Eulerian.

Let K be a field of characteristic zero and let $R = K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ with standard grading. Let $A_n(K)$ be the n^{th} Weyl algebra over K . Set $\deg \partial_i = -1$. So $A_n(K)$ is a graded ring with R as a graded subring. The Euler operator, denoted by $\mathcal{E}_n$, is defined as

$$\mathcal{E}_{X,n} = \mathcal{E}_n := \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \partial_i.$$

Note that $\deg \mathcal{E}_n = 0$. Let M be a graded $A_n(K)$ -module. If $m \in M$ is homogeneous element, set $|m| = \deg m$.

Definition 3.1. Let M be a graded $A_n(K)$ -module. We say M is an *Eulerian* $A_n(K)$ -module if for any homogeneous z in M we have

$$\mathcal{E}_n z = |z| \cdot z.$$

Clearly R is an Eulerian $A_n(K)$ -module.

Definition 3.2. A graded $A_n(K)$ -module M is said to be *generalized Eulerian* if for any homogeneous element z of M there exists a positive integer a (depending on z) such that

$$(\mathcal{E}_n - |z|)^a \cdot z = 0.$$

The following properties of generalized Eulerian modules were proved in [6].

Property 3.3 (Proposition 2.1 in [6]). Let $0 \rightarrow M_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} M_2 \xrightarrow{\alpha_2} M_3 \rightarrow 0$ be a short exact sequence of graded $A_n(K)$ -modules. Then M_2 is generalized Eulerian if and only if M_1 and M_3 are generalized Eulerian.

If M is graded $A_n(K)$ -module, then for $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ the modules $M(l)$ denotes the shift of M by l ; that is, $M(l)_n = M_{n+l}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Property 3.4 (Proposition 2.2 in [6]). Let M be a non-zero generalized Eulerian $A_n(K)$ -module. Then the shifted module $M(l)$ is not a generalized Eulerian $A_n(K)$ -module for $l \neq 0$.

The goal of this section is to prove that localization of a generalized Eulerian $A_n(K)$ -module is generalized Eulerian. We first prove:

Lemma 3.5. *Let M be a graded $A_n(K)$ -module. Consider a homogeneous polynomial $f \in R$ and let w be a homogeneous element of M . Then*

$$(\mathcal{E}_n - |w| + |f|) \frac{w}{f} = \frac{1}{f} (\mathcal{E}_n - |w|) w.$$

Proof. First we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_n \frac{w}{f} &= \sum x_i \partial_i \left(\frac{w}{f} \right), \\ &= \sum x_i \left(\frac{f \partial_i(w) - \partial_i(f) w}{f^2} \right), \\ &= \frac{f}{f^2} (\sum x_i \partial_i(w)) - \frac{1}{f^2} (\sum x_i \partial_i(f)) w, \\ &= \frac{1}{f} \mathcal{E}_n w - \frac{1}{f^2} (|f| \cdot f) w, \\ &= \frac{1}{f} (\mathcal{E}_n - |f|) w. \end{aligned}$$

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{E}_n - |w| + |f|) \frac{w}{f} &= \frac{1}{f} (\mathcal{E}_n - |f|) w - (|w| - |f|) \frac{w}{f}, \\ &= \frac{1}{f} \mathcal{E}_n w - \frac{|w|}{f} w, \\ &= \frac{1}{f} (\mathcal{E}_n - |w|) w. \end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof. □

We now extend the previous result:

Lemma 3.6. *Let M be a graded $A_n(K)$ -module. Consider a homogeneous polynomial $f \in R$ and let z be a homogeneous element of M . Then*

$$(\mathcal{E}_n - |z| + |f|)^n \frac{z}{f} = \frac{1}{f} (\mathcal{E}_n - |z|)^n z, \text{ for all } n \geq 1.$$

Proof. We prove this result by induction on n . By Lemma 3.5, the result is true for $n = 1$. Now we assume for $n = r$, so we have

$$(\mathcal{E}_n - |z| + |f|)^r \frac{z}{f} = \frac{1}{f} (\mathcal{E}_n - |z|)^r z,$$

for all $r \geq 1$. Put $w = (\mathcal{E}_n - |z|)^r z$. We observe that $\mathcal{E}_n - |z|$ is homogeneous of degree 0. This implies that

$$\deg(\mathcal{E}_n - |z|)^r = 0.$$

Hence

$$|w| = |z|.$$

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathcal{E}_n - |z| + |f|)^{r+1} \frac{z}{f} &= (\mathcal{E}_n - |z| + |f|)(\mathcal{E}_n - |z| + |f|)^r \frac{z}{f}, \\
&= (\mathcal{E}_n - |z| + |f|) \frac{w}{f}, \\
&= (\mathcal{E}_n - |w| + |f|) \frac{w}{f}, \\
&= \frac{1}{f}(\mathcal{E}_n - |w|)w \quad (\text{by Lemma 3.5}), \\
&= \frac{1}{f}(\mathcal{E}_n - |z|)(\mathcal{E}_n - |z|)^r z, \\
&= \frac{1}{f}(\mathcal{E}_n - |z|)^{r+1} z.
\end{aligned}$$

So the result holds by induction. $\square$

Corollary 3.7. *Let M be a generalized Eulerian $A_n(K)$ -module. Then $S^{-1}M$ is also a generalized Eulerian $A_n(K)$ -module for each homogeneous system $S \subseteq R$. In particular, M_f is generalized Eulerian for each homogeneous polynomial $f \in R$.*

Proof. Let $z \in M$ be homogeneous and let $f \in S$. Put $\xi = \frac{z}{f}$, so $|\xi| = |z| - |f|$. Since M is generalized Eulerian, so $(\mathcal{E}_n - |z|)^a z = 0$ for some $a \geq 1$. Now we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathcal{E}_n - |z| + |f|)^a \frac{z}{f} &= \frac{1}{f}(\mathcal{E}_n - |z|)^a z, \\
&= \frac{1}{f} \cdot 0, \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$(\mathcal{E}_n - |\xi|)^a \xi = 0.$$

Thus $S^{-1}M$ is generalized Eulerian $A_n(K)$ -module. $\square$

Corollary 3.8. *Let $I = (f_1, \dots, f_s)$ be a homogeneous ideal in R with f_i 's are homogeneous polynomials in R . Let M be a generalized Eulerian $A_n(K)$ -module. Then $H_I^i(M)$ is a generalized Eulerian $A_n(K)$ -module for all $i \geq 0$.*

Proof. Let $C_\bullet$ be the Čech complex of M with respect to $f_1, \dots, f_s$. This is a complex of graded $A_n(K)$ -modules. By Corollary 3.7, $M_{f_1, \dots, f_s}$ is generalized Eulerian. So each module C^j in $C_\bullet$ is generalized Eulerian. So by Property 3.3, $H_I^i(M)$ is a generalized Eulerian $A_n(K)$ -module for all $i \geq 0$. $\square$

Our final result is that the Eulerian operator is stable under a linear change of variables.

3.9. For $i = 1, \dots, n$ let $Y_i = \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij} X_j$ be a linear change of variables. Set $B = (b_{ij})$. Furthermore set $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)^{tr}$ and $\mathbf{Y} = (Y_1, \dots, Y_n)^{tr}$. Set

$$\partial_X = (\partial/\partial X_1, \dots, \partial/\partial X_n)^{tr} \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_Y = (\partial/\partial Y_1, \dots, \partial/\partial Y_n)^{tr}.$$

Let $\mathcal{E}_X = \mathbf{X}^{tr} \partial_X$ be the Eulerian operator with respect to $\mathbf{X}$ and let $\mathcal{E}_Y$ be the Eulerian operator with respect to $\mathbf{Y}$. We have

Proposition 3.10. *(with hypotheses as in 3.9)*

$$\mathcal{E}_X = \mathcal{E}_Y.$$

Proof. It can be easily verified that $\partial_X = B^{tr} \partial_Y$. Now notice

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_X &= \mathbf{X}^{tr} \partial_X \\ &= \mathbf{Y}^{tr} (B^{-1})^{tr} B^{tr} \partial_Y \\ &= \mathbf{Y}^{tr} \partial_Y \\ &= \mathcal{E}_Y. \end{aligned}$$

□

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.7

In this section we give a proof of Theorem 1.7. We also give a few applications.

Proof of Theorem 1.7. It suffices to show that if $\mathcal{T}$ is a graded Lyubeznik functor and M is graded generalized Eulerian $A_n(K)$ -modules, then so is $\mathcal{T}(M)$. Since $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_1 \circ \mathcal{T}_2 \circ \dots \circ \mathcal{T}_s$, by induction it is enough to show that $\mathcal{T}_i(M)$ is a generalized Eulerian $A_n(K)$ -module. But $\mathcal{T}_i(M)$ is a graded $A_n(K)$ -submodule of $H_Y^i(M)$, where Y is locally closed homogeneous closed subset of $\text{Spec}(R)$. By Property 3.3, it suffices to show that $H_Y^i(M)$ is generalized Eulerian. Let $Y = Y'' - Y'$, where Y', Y'' are homogeneous closed sets of $\text{Spec}(R)$. Then we have an exact sequence of graded $A_n(K)$ -modules

$$(2) \quad H_{Y'}^i(M) \longrightarrow H_Y^i(M) \longrightarrow H_{Y''}^{i+1}(M).$$

By Corollary 3.8, $H_{Y'}^i(M)$ and $H_{Y''}^{i+1}(M)$ are generalized Eulerian $A_n(K)$ -modules. Thus by Property 3.3, $H_Y^i(M)$ is generalized Eulerian $A_n(K)$ -module.

□

Remark 4.1. Let E be * injective hull of $R/\mathfrak{m}$. By Theorem 1.1(2) in [4], $E(n)$ is an Eulerian $A_n(K)$ -module.

Corollary 4.2. *Let K be a field of characteristic zero. Let $R = K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ be standard graded. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a graded Lyubeznik functor on $^*Mod(R)$. Then $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i \mathcal{T}(R) = E(n)^{a_i}$ for some $a_i \geq 0$.*

Proof. By Proposition 5.5 in [4],

$$H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(\mathcal{T}(R)) \cong \oplus_j^* E(n_j).$$

By Lyubeznik's result (Corollary 2.14 in [3]), the number of copies of $E(n_j)$ will be finite. Note $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i \circ \mathcal{T}$ is a Lyubeznik functor. By Theorem 1.7, $\oplus_j E(n_j)$ is a generalized Eulerian $A_n(K)$ -module. Thus $E(n_j)$ is generalized Eulerian. So by Property 3.4, $n_j = n$. Hence we have $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i \mathcal{T}(R) = E(n)^{a_i}$ for some $a_i \geq 0$.

□

An easy consequence of Theorem 3.1 in [6] is

Corollary 4.3. *Let K be a field of characteristic zero. Let $R = K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ be standard graded. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a graded Lyubeznik functor on ${}^*Mod(R)$. Then the de Rham cohomology module $H^j(\partial, \mathcal{T}(R))$ is concentrated in degree $-n$, i.e.,*

$$H^j(\partial, \mathcal{T}(R))_m = 0, \text{ for } m \neq -n.$$

Remark 4.4. For Corollary 4.3, note that $\mathcal{T}(R)$ is a holonomic $A_n(K)$ -module. So $H^j(\partial, \mathcal{T}(R))$ is a finite dimensional K -vector space (see Theorem 6.1 of Chapter 1 in [1]).

5. KOSZUL HOMOLOGY OF GENERALIZED EULERIAN MODULES

The main result of this section is the following:

Theorem 5.1. *Let M be a generalized Eulerian- $A_n(K)$ -module. Then $H_i(X_1, \dots, X_n; M)$ is concentrated in degree zero.*

We also give a proof of Theorem 1.8.

Remark 5.2. Note that if N is a holonomic $A_n(K)$ -module, then $H_i(\underline{X}; N)$ are finite dimensional vector space. This follows by similar way to Björk's proof to show that De Rham cohomology of holonomic module is finite dimensional (see Theorem 6.1 of Chapter 1 in [1]).

Before proving Theorem 5.1, we need to prove a few preliminary results.

Proposition 5.3. *Let M be a generalized Eulerian $A_n(K)$ -module. Then $H_1(X_n; M)$ and $H_0(X_n; M)$ are generalized Eulerian $A_{n-1}(K)$ -modules.*

Proof. We have an exact sequence of $A_{n-1}(K)$ -modules

$$0 \longrightarrow H_1(X_n; M) \longrightarrow M(-1) \xrightarrow{X_n} M \longrightarrow H_0(X_n; M) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Let $u \in H_1(X_n; M)$ be homogeneous of degree r . So we have to prove that

$$(\mathcal{E}_{n-1} - r)^a u = 0 \quad \text{for some } a \geq 1.$$

Notice that $u \in M(-1)_r = M_{r-1}$. As M is generalized Eulerian, we have

$$(\mathcal{E}_n - (r-1))^b u = 0 \quad \text{for some } b \geq 1.$$

Since $\partial_n X_n - X_n \partial_n = 1$, we can write

$$\mathcal{E}_n = \mathcal{E}_{n-1} + \partial_n X_n - 1.$$

Now we have

$$(\mathcal{E}_{n-1} - r + \partial_n X_n)^b u = 0.$$

Note that $\partial_n X_n$ commutes with $\mathcal{E}_{n-1}$. Thus

$$0 = (\mathcal{E}_{n-1} - r + \partial_n X_n)^b u = (\mathcal{E}_{n-1} - r)^b u + (*) \partial_n X_n u = (\mathcal{E}_{n-1} - r)^b u + \alpha X_n u,$$

where $\alpha \in A_n(K)$. Since $X_n u = 0$, we get

$$(\mathcal{E}_{n-1} - r)^b u = 0.$$

It follows that $H_1(X_n; M)$ is generalized Eulerian $A_{n-1}(K)$ -module.

Let $v \in H_0(X_n; M)$ be homogeneous of degree s . Then $v = m + X_n M$, where $m \in M$ of degree s . Because M is generalized Eulerian, we get

$$(\mathcal{E}_n - s)^a m = 0 \quad \text{for some } a \geq 1.$$

$$(\mathcal{E}_{n-1} - s + X_n \partial_n)^a m = 0.$$

Note that $X_n \partial_n$ commutes with $\mathcal{E}_{n-1}$. Thus

$$0 = (\mathcal{E}_{n-1} - s + X_n \partial_n)^a m = (\mathcal{E}_{n-1} - s)^a m + X_n \cdot (*) m = (\mathcal{E}_{n-1} - s)^a m + X_n \alpha m,$$

where $\alpha \in A_n(K)$. Going mod $X_n M$, we get

$$(\mathcal{E}_{n-1} - s)^a v = 0.$$

It follows that $H_0(X_n; M)$ is generalized Eulerian $A_{n-1}(K)$ -module. □

Theorem 5.4. *Let M be a generalized Eulerian $A_n(K)$ -module. Then for $i \geq 2$ and for each $j \geq 0$, the de Rham homology module $H_j(X_i, X_{i+1}, \dots, X_n; M)$ is a generalized Eulerian $A_{i-1}(K)$ -module.*

Proof. We prove the result by descending induction on i . For $i = n$, the result holds for Proposition 5.3. Set $X = X_i, X_{i+1}, \dots, X_n$ and $X' = X_{i+1}, \dots, X_n$. By induction hypothesis, $N_j = H_j(X'; M)$ are generalized Eulerian $A_i(K)$ -module. By Proposition 5.3 again, for $j' = 0, 1$ and for each $j \geq 0$, $H_{j'}(X_i; N_j)$ are generalized Eulerian $A_{i-1}(K)$ -modules. By Lemma 2.5, we have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_0(X_i; N_j) \rightarrow H_j(X; M) \rightarrow H_1(X_i; N_{j-1}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since the modules at the left and the right end are generalized Eulerian, by Property 3.3 it follows that for each $j \geq 0$ the de Rham homology module $H_j(X; M)$ is a generalized Eulerian $A_{i-1}(K)$ -module. □

Finally we show:

Proposition 5.5. *Let M be a generalized Eulerian $A_1(K)$ -module. Then the modules $H_0(X_1; M)$ and $H_1(X_1; M)$ are concentrated in degree 0.*

Proof. We have an exact sequence of K -vector spaces

$$0 \longrightarrow H_1(X_1; M) \longrightarrow M(-1) \xrightarrow{X_1} M \longrightarrow H_0(X_1; M) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Let $\xi \in H_1(X_1; M)(1)$ be homogeneous and nonzero. Since $\xi \in M$ and M is a generalized Eulerian $A_1(K)$ -module, we have

$$(X_1 \partial_1 - |\xi|)^a \xi = 0 \quad \text{for some } a \geq 1.$$

Note that $(X_1 \partial_1 - |\xi|)^a = (\partial_1 X_1 - 1 - |\xi|)^a = (*)X_1 + (-1)^a(1 + |\xi|)^a$. Thus

$$\alpha X_1 \xi + (-1)^a(1 + |\xi|)^a \xi = 0,$$

where $\alpha \in A_1(K)$. Since $X_1 \xi = 0$ and $\xi \neq 0$, we get $|\xi| = -1$. It follows that $H_1(X_1; M)$ are concentrated in degree 0.

Let $\xi \in H_0(X_1; M)$ be nonzero and homogeneous of degree r . Then $\xi = m + X_1 M$, where $m \in M$ of degree r . Since M is generalized Eulerian, we get

$$(X_1 \partial_1 - r)^a m = 0 \quad \text{for some } a \geq 1.$$

$$X_1 \cdot (*)m + (-1)^a r^a m = 0.$$

In $M/X_1 M$, we have $(-1)^a r^a \xi = 0$. Because $\xi \neq 0$, we get $r = 0$. It follows that $H_0(X_1; M)$ are concentrated in degree 0. □

Now we give the following:

Proof of Theorem 5.1. Set $X' = X_2, \dots, X_n$. By Proposition 5.4, $N_j = H_j(X'; M)$ is generalized Eulerian $A_1(K)$ -module, for each $j \geq 0$. By Lemma 2.5, we have

$$0 \rightarrow H_0(X_1; N_j) \rightarrow H_j(X; M) \rightarrow H_1(X_1; N_{j-1}) \rightarrow 0.$$

for each $j \geq 0$. By Proposition 5.5, the modules on the left and right of the above exact sequence are concentrated in degree 0. It follows that for each $j \geq 0$ the K -vector space $H_j(X; M)$ is also concentrated in degree 0. □

A surprising consequence of Theorem 5.1 is the following:

Corollary 5.6. *Let M be a generalized Eulerian $A_n(K)$ -module. If M is also finitely generated as an R -module, then $M = 0$ or $M = R^m$ for some $m \geq 1$.*

Proof. Suppose $M \neq 0$. By Theorem 5.1, we have

$$H_0(X_1, \dots, X_n; M)_j = 0 \quad \text{for } j \neq 0.$$

In particular $M/(\mathbf{X})M$ is concentrated in $\deg 0$. By graded Nakayama's Lemma, we have a surjective minimal map

$$R^m \xrightarrow{\phi} M \rightarrow 0.$$

Consider $N = \text{Ker}\phi$. If $N \neq 0$, then as ϕ is minimal $N_j = 0$ for $j \leq 0$. This forces $H_1(X_1, \dots, X_n; M)_j \neq 0$ for some $j > 0$. But this is a contradiction. So $N = 0$. This implies that $M = R^m$. $\square$

Finally we indicate

Proof of Theorem 1.8. (1) This follows from Proposition 3.4 in [6].

(2) This follows from Theorem 5.4.

(3) This follows from (1), (2) and Lemma 2.5. $\square$

6. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.4

In this section we state and prove a more general result which implies Theorem 1.4.

6.1. We recall a construction from [1, p. 18] which shows that if M, N are $A_n(K)$ -modules then for all $\nu \geq 0$ the R -module $\text{Tor}_\nu^R(M, N)$ has a natural structure of a left $A_n(K)$ -module. We first note that $M \otimes_R N$ is an $A_n(K)$ -module with following action of ∂_i :

$$\partial_i(m \otimes n) = (\partial_i m) \otimes n + m \otimes (\partial_i n).$$

Now consider a free resolution of the left $A_n(K)$ -module M

$$\mathbb{F}: \dots \rightarrow F_n \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

where F_i are free $A_n(K)$ -modules. We note that as $A_n(K)$ is a free R -module the complex $\mathbb{F}$ is a resolution of M by free R -modules. It is now clear that $\text{Tor}_\nu^R(M, N) = H_\nu(\mathbb{F} \otimes_R N)$ have natural structure of $A_n(K)$ -modules. By Theorem 1.6.4 [1]; if M, N are holonomic $A_n(K)$ modules then so is $\text{Tor}_\nu^R(M, N)$ for all $\nu \geq 0$

6.2. If M, N are graded $A_n(K)$ -modules, then we can choose $\mathbb{F}$ to be a graded free resolution of M (by graded free $A_n(K)$ -modules). So $\text{Tor}_\nu^R(M, N)$ are graded $A_n(K)$ -modules.

The main result of this section is

Theorem 6.3. *Let M, N be graded generalized Eulerian $A_n(K)$ -modules. Then $\text{Tor}_\nu^R(M, N)$ is generalized Eulerian for all $\nu \geq 0$.*

Remark 6.4. The proof of Theorem 6.3 will show that even if M, N are Eulerian $A_n(K)$ -modules it does NOT follow that $\text{Tor}_\nu^R(M, N)$ are Eulerian.

We now give

Proof of Theorem 6.3. We proceed on the lines of argument given in the proof of Theorem 1.6.4 given in [1].

Let $T = A_n(K) = K \langle X_1, \dots, X_n, \partial_1, \dots, \partial_n \rangle$ be the original ring we are considering. Let $T' = K \langle Y_1, \dots, Y_n, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_n \rangle$ where $\delta_j = \partial/\partial Y_j$ be

another copy of $A_n(K)$. Also consider the Weyl algebra $S = A_{2n}(K) = K \langle X_1, \dots, X_n, Y_1, \dots, Y_n, \partial_1, \dots, \partial_n, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_n \rangle$, here $\partial_i = \partial/\partial X_i$ and $\delta_j = \partial/\partial Y_j$.

We consider N as T' -module with action of Y_j to be identical as that of X_j .

Now $M \otimes_K N$ can be given a left S -module structure as follows

$$\begin{aligned} X_i(m \otimes n) &= (X_i m) \otimes n, & Y_j(m \otimes n) &= m \otimes (Y_j n) \\ \partial_i(m \otimes n) &= (\partial_i m) \otimes n, & \delta_j(m \otimes n) &= m \otimes (\delta_j n). \end{aligned}$$

Then Björk gives an isomorphism

$$(*) \quad \text{Tor}_\nu^R(M, N) \cong H_\nu(Y_1 - X_1, \dots, Y_n - X_n, M \otimes_K N).$$

(Here $M \otimes_K N$ is considered as a S -module and $H_\nu(Y_1 - X_1, \dots, Y_n - X_n, M \otimes_K N)$ is the ν^{th} -Koszul homology of the S -module $M \otimes_K N$ with respect to $Y_1 - X_1, \dots, Y_n - X_n$).

Important Observations:

- (1) If M, N are graded T -modules then $M \otimes_K N$ is a graded S -module.
- (2) The isomorphism $(*)$ is graded and of degree zero.

Claim: If M, N are generalized Eulerian T -modules then $M \otimes_K N$ is a generalized Eulerian S -module.

Note N is a generalized Eulerian T' -module. Let $u = m \otimes n$ where $m \in M$ and $n \in N$ are homogeneous. Note $|u| = |m| + |n|$. Let $\mathcal{E}_X = \mathbf{X}^{tr} \partial_X$ be the Eulerian operator with respect to $\mathbf{X}$ and let $\mathcal{E}_Y$ be the Eulerian operator with respect to $\mathbf{Y}$.

As M is a generalized Eulerian T -module and N is a generalized Eulerian T' -module there exists $a, b \geq 1$ such that

$$(\mathcal{E}_X - |m|)^a m = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (\mathcal{E}_Y - |n|)^b n = 0.$$

Set $\alpha = \mathcal{E}_X - |m|$ and $\beta = \mathcal{E}_Y - |n|$. Note $\mathcal{E}_X + \mathcal{E}_Y$ is the Eulerian operator on S . Further note that $\mathcal{E}_X, \mathcal{E}_Y$ commute with each other. So α, β commute with each other.

We now observe that

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha + \beta)^{a+b+1} m \otimes n &= \left(\sum_{k=0}^{a+b+1} \binom{a+b+1}{k} \alpha^{a+b+1-k} \beta^k \right) (m \otimes n) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{a+b+1} \left(\binom{a+b+1}{k} \alpha^{a+b+1-k} \beta^k \cdot (m \otimes n) \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{a+b+1} \binom{a+b+1}{k} ((\alpha^{a+b+1-k} m) \otimes (\beta^k n)) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

(The last equality holds since for all k with $0 \leq k \leq a + b + 1$ we have that either $a + b + 1 - k \geq a$ OR $k \geq b$). It follows that $M \otimes_K N$ is a generalized Eulerian S -module.

Consider the change of variables $Z_i = X_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $Z_{n+j} = X_j - Y_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$. By 3.10 and Theorem 1.8(2) it follows that $H_\nu(Z_{n+1}, \dots, Z_{2n}; M \otimes_K N)$ is a generalized Eulerian T -module. So by (*) it follows that $\text{Tor}_\nu^R(M, N)$ is a generalized Eulerian T -module for all $\nu \geq 0$. $\square$

Immediately we get the following result which contains Theorem 1.4 as a special case.

Theorem 6.5. *Let K be a field of characteristic zero. Let $R = K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ be standard graded. Let $\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{G}$ be graded Lyubeznik functor's on ${}^*Mod(R)$. Then $\text{Tor}_\nu^R(\mathcal{F}(R), \mathcal{G}(R))$ is a holonomic generalized Eulerian $A_n(K)$ -module for all $\nu \geq 0$. In particular $H_m^i(\text{Tor}_\nu^R(\mathcal{F}(R), \mathcal{G}(R))) \cong E(n)^{a_{i,\nu}}$ for some (finite) $a_{i,\nu} \geq 0$.*

Proof. By Lyubeznik [3, 2.9], we get that $\mathcal{F}(R), \mathcal{G}(R)$ are holonomic $A_n(K)$ modules. So by [1, 1.6.4] we get that $\text{Tor}_\nu^R(\mathcal{F}(R), \mathcal{G}(R))$ is a holonomic $A_n(K)$ -module.

By 1.7 we get that $\mathcal{F}(R), \mathcal{G}(R)$ are generalized Eulerian $A_n(K)$ -modules. So by Theorem 6.3 we get that $\text{Tor}_\nu^R(\mathcal{F}(R), \mathcal{G}(R))$ is a generalized Eulerian $A_n(K)$ -module for all $\nu \geq 0$.

Notice $H_m^i(-)$ is also a graded Lyubeznik functor. In particular $H_m^i(\text{Tor}_\nu^R(\mathcal{F}(R), \mathcal{G}(R)))$ is also a generalized Eulerian $A_n(K)$ -module. Furthermore it is a holonomic $A_n(K)$ -module. It follows that $H_m^i(\text{Tor}_\nu^R(\mathcal{F}(R), \mathcal{G}(R))) \cong E(n)^{a_{i,\nu}}$ for some (finite) $a_{i,\nu} \geq 0$. $\square$

7. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

In this section we state and prove a more general result which implies Theorem 1.2. This result follows easily from Theorem 7.1.

Let M be a left $A_n(K)$ -module. Set $M^\sharp$ to be the standard right $A_n(K)$ -module associated to M , see 2.6. The main result of this section is

Theorem 7.1. *Fix $\nu \geq 0$. Let M, N be holonomic generalized Eulerian left $A_n(K)$ -modules. Then $\text{Tor}_\nu^{A_n(K)}(M^\sharp, N)$ is concentrated in degree $-n$, i.e., $\text{Tor}_\nu^{A_n(K)}(M^\sharp, N)_j = 0$ for $j \neq -n$.*

Proof. We have to first carefully de-construct the proof by Björk, (see proof of Theorem 1.6.6, [1]) showing that if U is a holonomic right $A_n(K)$ -module and V is a holonomic left $A_n(K)$ -module then $\text{Tor}^{A_n(K)}_\nu(U, V)$ is a finite dimensional K -vector space for all $\nu \geq 0$.

As in Theorem 6.5 we consider the following Weyl-algebra's:

(a) $T = A_n(K) = K \langle X_1, \dots, X_n, \partial_1, \dots, \partial_n \rangle$ be the original ring we are considering.

- (b) Let $T' = K \langle Y_1, \dots, Y_n, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_n \rangle$ where $\delta_j = \partial/\partial Y_j$ be another copy of $A_n(K)$.
 (c) Also consider the Weyl algebra

$$S = A_{2n}(K) = K \langle X_1, \dots, X_n, Y_1, \dots, Y_n, \partial_1, \dots, \partial_n, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_n \rangle,$$

here $\partial_i = \partial/\partial X_i$ and $\delta_j = \partial/\partial Y_j$.

We consider V as T' -modules with action of Y_j to be identical as that of X_j .

Now $U \otimes_K V$ can be given a *left* S -module structure as follows

- (1) $X_i(u \otimes v) = (X_i u) \otimes v$,
- (2) $Y_j(u \otimes v) = u \otimes (v n)$,
- (3) $\partial_i(u \otimes v) = -(u \partial_i) \otimes v$,
- (4) $\delta_j(u \otimes v) = m \otimes (\delta_j n)$.

The minus sign in (3) is essential if we have to give left S -module structure on $U \otimes_K V$. In proof of Theorem [1, 1.6.4] it is shown that $U \otimes_K V$ is a holonomic S -module.

Now if we give $M^\# \otimes_K N$ the left S -module structure as above then only action (3) deserves a comment. Notice

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_i(m \otimes n) &= -(m \partial_i) \otimes n, \\ &= -(\tau(\partial_i)m) \otimes n, \\ &= -(-\partial_i m) \otimes n, \\ (\dagger) \quad &= (\partial_i m) \otimes n. \end{aligned}$$

Claim: If M, N are generalized Eulerian T -modules then $M^\# \otimes_K N$ is a generalized Eulerian S -module.

Note N is a generalized Eulerian T' -module.

As M is a generalized Eulerian T -module and N is generalized Eulerian T' -module there exists $a, b \geq 1$ such that

$$(\mathcal{E}_X - |m|)^a m = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (\mathcal{E}_Y - |n|)^b n = 0.$$

Set $\alpha = \mathcal{E}_X - |m|$ and $\beta = \mathcal{E}_Y - |n|$. Note $\mathcal{E}_X + \mathcal{E}_Y$ is the Eulerian operator on S . Further note that $\mathcal{E}_X, \mathcal{E}_Y$ commute with each other. So α, β commute with each other.

We now observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
(\alpha + \beta)^{a+b+1} m \otimes n &= \left(\sum_{k=0}^{a+b+1} \binom{a+b+1}{k} \alpha^{a+b+1-k} \beta^k \right) (m \otimes n) \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{a+b+1} \left(\binom{a+b+1}{k} \alpha^{a+b+1-k} \beta^k \cdot (m \otimes n) \right) \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{a+b+1} \binom{a+b+1}{k} ((\alpha^{a+b+1-k} m) \otimes (\beta^k n)) \quad (\text{use equation } (\dagger)), \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

(The last equality holds since for all k with $0 \leq k \leq a+b+1$ we have that either $a+b+1-k \geq a$ OR $k \geq b$). It follows that $M^\sharp \otimes_K N$ is a generalized Eulerian S -module.

We note the elements $X_1 - Y_1, \dots, X_n - Y_n, \partial_1 + \delta_1, \dots, \partial_n + \delta_n$ commute with each other. By proof of [1, Theorem 1.6.6] for all $\nu \geq 0$ we have a degree zero isomorphism of vector spaces

$$\text{Tor}_\nu^{A_n(K)}(M^\sharp, N) \cong H_\nu(X_1 - Y_1, \dots, X_n - Y_n, \partial_1 + \delta_1, \dots, \partial_n + \delta_n; M^\sharp \otimes_K N).$$

(the latter is Koszul homology of $M^\sharp \otimes_K N$ with respect to commuting operators $X_1 - Y_1, \dots, X_n - Y_n, \partial_1 + \delta_1, \dots, \partial_n + \delta_n$).

Consider the change of variables

$$Z_i = X_i + Y_i \quad W_i = X_i - Y_i \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n.$$

We note that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial Z_i} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial X_i} + \frac{\partial}{\partial Y_i} \right).$$

7.2. Thus for all $\nu \geq 0$ we have an isomorphism of graded K -vector spaces,

$$\begin{aligned}
&H_\nu(X_1 - Y_1, \dots, X_n - Y_n, \partial_1 + \delta_1, \dots, \partial_n + \delta_n; M^\sharp \otimes_K N) \\
&\cong H_\nu(W_1, \dots, W_n, \partial/\partial Z_1, \dots, \partial/\partial Z_n; M^\sharp \otimes_K N).
\end{aligned}$$

The result now follows from Theorem 1.8(3). $\square$

Immediately we get the following result which contains Theorem 1.2 as a special case.

Theorem 7.3. *Let K be a field of characteristic zero. Let $R = K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ be standard graded. Let $\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{G}$ be graded Lyubeznik functors on ${}^*Mod(R)$. Fix $\nu \geq 0$. Then $\text{Tor}_\nu^{A_n(K)}(\mathcal{F}(R)^\sharp, \mathcal{G}(R))$ is a finite dimensional, graded K -vector-space concentrated in degree $-n$*

Proof. By Lyubeznik [3, 2.9], we get that $\mathcal{F}(R), \mathcal{G}(R)$ are holonomic $A_n(K)$ modules. So by [1, 1.6.6] we get that $\text{Tor}_\nu^{A_n(K)}(\mathcal{F}(R), \mathcal{G}(R))$ is a finite dimensional K -vector space.

By 1.7 we get that $\mathcal{F}(R), \mathcal{G}(R)$ are generalized Eulerian $A_n(K)$ -modules. The result now follows from Theorem 7.1. $\square$

8. CONJECTURE 1.5

In this section we give evidence which supports our conjecture 1.5.

8.1. *We first recall the proof by Björk* which shows that if M, N are holonomic $A_n(K)$ -modules then $\text{Ext}_{A_n(K)}^\nu(M, N)$ is a finite dimensional K -vector space for all $\nu \geq 0$.

- *Step-1:* Consider the dual of M namely $M^\dagger = \text{Ext}_{A_n(K)}^n(M, A_n(K))$. Then $M^\dagger$ is a right holonomic $A_n(K)$ -module, see 7.12, Chapter 2, [1].

- *Step-2:* For all $\nu \geq 0$ there is an isomorphism (see 7.15, Chapter 2, [1]):

$$\text{Ext}_{A_n(K)}^\nu(M, N) \cong \text{Tor}_{n-\nu}^{A_n(K)}(M^\dagger, N).$$

It now follows from Theorem 6.6, Chapter 1, [1]; that $\text{Ext}_{A_n(K)}^\nu(M, N)$ is a finite dimensional K -vector space for all $\nu \geq 0$.

Remark 8.2. If M, N are graded holonomic $A_n(K)$ -modules then the isomorphism in Step 2 is homogeneous of degree zero. This can be seen by inspecting the proof; (also note the isomorphism given in Proposition 4.14, Chapter 2, [1] is also homogeneous of degree zero).

We first indicate another conjecture which solves our conjecture 1.5.

Conjecture 8.3. *Let M be a non-zero, left, holonomic, graded, generalized Eulerian $A_n(K)$ -module. Then ${}^\#M^\dagger(+n)$ is a left generalized Eulerian $A_n(K)$ -module.*

Remark 8.4. We recall that if N is a right $A_n(K)$ -module then ${}^\#N$ is the standard left module associated to N .

8.5. We now show

How Truth of Conjecture 8.3 implies validity of Conjecture 1.5. Set $L = {}^\#M^\dagger$. Then $L^\# = M^\dagger$. Also note that $L(+n)^\# \cong L^\#(+n)$.

If $L(+n)$ is generalized Eulerian then by Theorem 7.1 we get that for all $\nu \geq 0$ the finite dimensional K -vector space $\text{Tor}_{n-\nu}^{A_n(K)}(L^\#(+n), N)$ is concentrated in degree $-n$. It follows that $\text{Tor}_{n-\nu}^{A_n(K)}(L^\#, N)$ is concentrated in degree 0.

So $\text{Tor}_{n-\nu}^{A_n(K)}(M^\dagger, N)$ is concentrated in degree 0.

By 8.1(Step 2) we get the result. $\square$

8.6. Convention. Set $D = A_n(K)$. Let ${}^lD$ (and D^r) be D considered as a left D -module (right D -module). Similarly let ${}^lR$ (and R^r) be R considered as a left D -module (right D -module).

8.7. Let us recall the following well-known facts.

(i) Let M be a left D -module. Then $\text{Hom}_D(M, D)$ is a right D -module with D -action given as follows: Let $f \in \text{Hom}_D(M, D)$ and $d \in D$. Define $fd: M \rightarrow D$

$$(fd)(m) = f(m)d \quad \text{for all } m \in M.$$

(ii) The map $\psi: \text{Hom}_D({}^l D, D) \rightarrow D^r$ defined by $\psi(f) = f(1)$ is an isomorphism (as right D -modules).

Lemma 8.8. [with notation as in 8.1 and 8.6]

$$({}^l R)^\dagger \cong R^r(-n).$$

Proof. It is well known that the Koszul complex $(\mathbb{K})$ of ${}^l D$ with respect to $\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n$ is acyclic. Note $H_0(\mathbb{K}) = {}^l R$. So $\mathbb{K}$ is a free resolution of ${}^l R$ (as left D -modules). We note that $\mathbb{K}_n = {}^l D(+n)$ and $\mathbb{K}_{n-1} = {}^l D(+n-1)^n$.

We consider the complex $\mathbb{K}^* = \text{Hom}_D(\mathbb{K}, D)$. Ignoring shifts it is elementary to see that $H^n(\mathbb{K}^*) = R^r$. As shifts are vital to us, we note that $\mathbb{K}_n^* = D^r(-n)$. Thus $H^n(\mathbb{K}^*) = R^r(-n)$.

Finally note that $\text{Ext}_D^n({}^l R, D) = H^n(\mathbb{K}^*) = R^r(-n)$. $\square$

Example 8.9. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a graded Lyubeznik functor on ${}^* \text{Mod}(R)$. Then de Rham cohomology module $H^j(\partial, \mathcal{T}(R))$ is concentrated in degree $-n$ (see 4.3). We note that we have an isomorphism of graded K -vector spaces

$$(i) \quad H^\nu(\partial, \mathcal{T}(R)) \cong \text{Tor}_{n-\nu}^D(R^r, \mathcal{T}(R)).$$

It follows that $\text{Tor}_{n-\nu}^D(R^r, \mathcal{T}(R))(-n)$ is concentrated in degree zero. By 8.1(2) we have a graded isomorphism

$$\text{Ext}_D^\nu({}^l R, \mathcal{T}(R)) \cong \text{Tor}_{n-\nu}^D(({ }^l R)^\dagger, \mathcal{T}(R)).$$

By Lemma 8.8 we get that $({}^l R)^\dagger \cong R^r(-n)$. Thus we have a graded isomorphism

$$\text{Ext}_D^\nu({}^l R, \mathcal{T}(R)) \cong H^\nu(\partial, \mathcal{T}(R))(-n)$$

Thus $\text{Ext}_D^\nu({}^l R, \mathcal{T}(R))$ is concentrated in degree zero.

8.10. *Evidence for validity of Conjecture 1.5:* Fix $\nu \geq 0$. Suppose there exists $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\text{Ext}_D^\nu(M, N)$ is concentrated in degree m for all left, graded, holonomic, generalized Eulerian D -modules M and N . We show that necessarily $m = 0$.

- (1) $\nu = 0$: Let M be a *non-zero* left, graded, holonomic, generalized Eulerian D -module. Then the identity map $1_M \in \text{Hom}_D(M, M)$ and is *non-zero*.
- (2) $\nu = 1$: Let f be an irreducible polynomial in R . Let $P = (f)$. Consider the exact sequence of R -modules:

$$(*) \quad 0 \rightarrow {}^l R \rightarrow {}^l R_f \rightarrow H_P^1(R) \rightarrow 0.$$

It is easily verified that this is in fact an exact sequence of left D -modules. If $(*)$ is split sequence of D -modules then it is split as R -modules. In particular we get that P is an associate prime of R_f , a contradiction. Set $M = H_P^1(R)$ and $N = {}^lR$. Then the exact sequence $(*)$ is a non-zero element in $\text{Ext}_D^1(M, N)_0$.

- (3) $\nu = n$: Let $N_n = H_{\mathfrak{m}}^n(R)$. Then by [5, Theorem 2.4] it follows that $H^n(\partial, N_n) = K$ (in degree $-n$). So we have that $\text{Ext}_D^n({}^lR, N_n)$ is non-zero and concentrated in degree 0.
- (4) $\nu = n - 1$: Set $P_{n-1} = (X_1, \dots, X_{n-1})$. Set $N_{n-1} = H_{P_{n-1}}^{n-1}(R)$. Then by [5, Theorem 4.3] it follows that $H^{n-1}(\partial, N_{n-1}) = K$ (in degree $-n$). So we have that $\text{Ext}_D^{n-1}({}^lR, N_{n-1})$ is non-zero and concentrated in degree 0.
- (5) $1 \leq \nu \leq n - 2$. Set $P_\nu = (X_1, \dots, X_\nu)$. Set $N_\nu = H_{P_\nu}^\nu(R)$. Then by techniques similar to [5, Theorem 2.4], [5, Theorem 4.3] (and a little tedious induction) it follows that $H^\nu(\partial, N_\nu) = K$ (in degree $-n$). So we have that $\text{Ext}_D^\nu({}^lR, N_\nu)$ is non-zero and concentrated in degree 0.
- (6) $\nu = 2$. Let I be a graded ideal of height g such that $H_I^i(R) = 0$ for $i > g + 1$ and $H_I^{g+1}(R) = E(n)^a$ for some $a \geq 1$ (for a specific example see example 6.1 in [7]). Note $H_I^g(R)$ is non-zero and say has associate primes $P_1, \dots, P_c$. Then note that P_i are the minimal primes of I of height g (in particular they are graded and not equal to $\mathfrak{m}$). Let $f \in \mathfrak{m} \setminus \cup_{i=1}^c P_i$ be homogeneous. We have an exact sequence of R -modules (and also of D -modules)

$$(**) \quad 0 \rightarrow H_I^g(R) \rightarrow H_I^g(R)_f \rightarrow H_{(I,f)}^{g+1} \rightarrow E(n)^a \rightarrow E(n)_f^a = 0.$$

Clearly we have that $(**)$ is an element of $\text{Ext}_D^2(E(n)^a, H_I^g(R))_0$. I believe it is non-zero. Unfortunately I do not know how to show it.

REFERENCES

1. J.-E. Björk, *Rings of differential operators*, North-Holland Math. Library **21**, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1979.
2. W. Bruns and J. Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay Rings*, Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math. 39, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993
3. G. Lyubeznik, *Finiteness properties of local cohomology modules (an application of Dmodules to commutative algebra)*, Invent. Math. **113** (1993), no. 1, 41-55.
4. L. Ma and W. Zhang, *Eulerian graded D-modules*, Math. Res. Lett. **21** (2014), no. 1, 149167.
5. T. J. Puthenpurakal, *De Rham cohomology of local cohomology modules*, Preprint.arXiv: 1302.0116v1.
6. T. J. Puthenpurakal, *De Rham cohomology of local cohomology modules-The graded case*, Nagoya Math. J. **217** (2015), 1-21.
7. U. Walther, *Algorithmic computation of local cohomology modules and the local cohomological dimension of algebraic varieties*, Effective methods in algebraic geometry (Saint-Malo, 1998). J. Pure Appl. Algebra 139 (1999), 303-321.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY BOMBAY, MUMBAI 400076,
INDIA

E-mail address: `tputhen@math.iitb.ac.in`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY BOMBAY, MUMBAI 400076,
INDIA

E-mail address: `jyotijagrati@gmail.com`